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Abstract—This research aims to simulate the behavior of remolded cement treated poorly graded sand in term of shear and interface strength using the direct shear test. Different percentages of cement up to 15% by weight are added to the soil samples. Compacted cement treated soil samples are prepared at the optimum moisture content and left for 28 days in the humidity room then distributed to use them for the remolding samples preparation. The shear strength parameters for both cases soil to soil interface and concrete to soil interface are predicted, where the results show that the interface strength parameters are higher than the shear strength parameters of the remolded soil samples. The increase in cement percent increases the cohesion (C) of the treated soil, whereas the interface cohesion ($C_{int}$) has a maximum value at 10% of added cement, and the maximum percent between cohesion ($C_{int}$) and soil cohesion (C) is of 76.2% at 0% added cement. Moreover, the results show an increase in the interface angle of friction ($\phi$) and a decrease in the angle of friction ($\phi$) as the percent of cement increases. The maximum percent between interface angle ($\delta$) and angle of friction ($\phi$) is 63.5% at 15% of the added cement. The hardened cement in the remolded case adheres to sand grains and works as soil grains with different sizes that lead to changes in the shear properties of the soil.

Index Terms—Cement treated sand, Interface strength, Remolded soil, Shear strength.

I. INTRODUCTION

Placement of materials having different properties adjacent to each other led to generating the interface zone which can make it the weakest point of the overall system in terms of shear strength compared to that of neighboring materials. Soil structure interfaces are commonly controlling the overall design and stability of civil engineering structures.

Soils consist of particles with different sizes and properties which make them easy to undergo large deformation when being loaded (both compression and shear). Structural elements that made from concrete or steel can be treated as solid continuum materials compared with soils. The interfaces between these two types of materials are of unique properties. Many researchers were interested in finding the relationship between the soil angle of internal friction ($\phi$) and the interface friction angle ($\delta$).

Potyondy (1961) and Acar, et al. (1982) studied the effect of soil density on the interface angle of friction using direct shear apparatus with the sand on the top of concrete pad; they concluded that the interface angle increased as the density increased and the interface angle equal to the angle of internal friction. Uesugi, et al. (1990) used simple shear with the sand on top of the test material, their finding was same as that observed by Potyondy (1961) and Acar, et al. (1982). Sliding the material over the sand using direct shear mode was conducted by Broms (1963) and Noorany (1985) and they stated that the influence of sand density on the interface angle of friction is negligible. Recording the particle displacement at the interface between steel and sand using speed camera observed by Hu and Pu (2001), they stated that the shear failure is accompanied by strain softening and strong normal dilatancy. Feng (2012) used the multi-functional 3-D shear test equipment developed by Hou, et al. (2008) to observe the effect of different types of coarse-grained soils, structural plates and boundary conditions and loading conditions on the mechanism of interface between soil and structure. Their results (Hou, et al., 2008 and Feng, 2012) showed deeply constitutive interface laws between granular soil and structure. There were limited studies that discussed the effect of remolding on the behavior of cement treated soils, most of them were related to the effect of remolding for fine grain soils (Watabe, et al., 2008 and Feng, 2012) showed deeply constitutive interface laws between granular soil and structure. There were limited studies that discussed the effect of remolding on the behavior of cement treated soils, most of them were related to the effect of remolding for fine grain soils (Watabe, et al., 2016 and Suganya and Sivapullaiah, 2020). The present study is the first of its kind that discusses the effect of remolding on the strength and interface strength (soil-concrete) of cement treated granular soils. The effect of cement as treating material by dry weight of cohesion less soil up to 15% on the interface friction angle and cohesion between concrete and the compacted remolded cement treated soil will be discussed in this study by comparing them with the angle of internal friction and cohesion of the remolded cement treated samples. It is believed that the result observed in this study will be useful when the remolding of cement treated soils is required in some sites previously treated by cement.

II. MATERIALS AND TESTING PROGRAM

For the purpose of predicting the effect of remolding on the behavior of cement treated granular soil in term of cohesion...
and internal angle of friction (soil - soil) and interface cohesion and angle of friction between remolded cement treated soil and concrete (soil – concrete), granular soil samples were predicted from Drbandikhan, Sulaymaniyah (Kurdistan Religion-Iraq) used for this purpose, whereas the cement that used as improvement agent was ordinary Portland cement.

The soil mechanic laboratory of Koya University was used to conduct the material properties and shear tests, according to ASTM (American Society for Testing and Materials, 2020). Grain size analysis of the tested soil was carried out according to ASTM D 422, 2020, the grain size distribution for the soil used in this study is as shown in Fig. 1. The grain size analysis show that the percent passing from sieve No. 200 was about 1.9% whereas the percent of sand was of 75.4 %, the coefficients of uniformity and curvature were 3.3 and 0.7, respectively, so that soil classified as poorly graded sand (SP) according to the Unified Soil Classification System (USCS) (ASTM Test Designation D-2487). Specific gravity test was carried out on virgin soil according to ASTM D 854-00; the test result reflected that the specific gravity for the tested soil was 2.6. Compaction tests were confirmed according to ASTM D 698, 2020. The maximum dry density and optimum moisture content represent important parameters to preparing the samples for the direct shear test. Fig. 2 shows the variation of water content with dry density; the results showed that the maximum dry density was 1.71 kN/m\(^2\) whereas the optimum moisture content was 14%.

Compacted soil samples at different cement content (0, 5, 10, and 15%) were prepared at optimum moisture continent and left for 28 day in the humidity room. After the 28 days, the samples disturbed to make them suitable for preparing remolded samples. Shear strength parameters of the virgin soil and also of all the soil mixed with different cement content were determined by direct shear tests on remolded samples obtained from compaction at optimum moisture content based on ASTM D 3080, 2020. The test is run several times for vertical-confining stresses of 70 kN/m\(^2\), 138 kN/m\(^2\), and 206 kN/m\(^2\).

To prepare compacted soil samples for direct shear test purpose, the remolded soil passed from sieve No.16. Some soil placed in the mold and compacted in three equal layers. The number of drops of the plastic rammer that used to compact the soil was 25 drop per layer. The drops were applied at a uniform rate not exceeding around 1.5 s per drop, and the rammer provided uniform coverage of the specimen surface so that sample density must be represent the max density achieved from the standard compaction test.

For evaluating the shear strength parameters in case of sand-concrete interface, concrete interface element with dimension of (6×6×1) cm was prepared for this purpose. The mix proportions were (1 cement:3 aggregate) and the water – cement ratio (w/c) was 0.4. Direct shear test made by sliding the concrete element over the sand.

### III. Results

A direct shear device used to determine the shear strength parameter of treated remolded compacted soil with different

---

**Fig. 1.** Grain size analysis of the tested soil (0% of cement).

**Fig. 2.** Variation of maximum dry density with water content (standard compaction test).

**Fig. 3.** Variation of shear force with horizontal displacement (10% of Cement).

**Fig. 4.** Evaluation of angle of friction and cohesion of soil (10% cement).
Table I

Results summary

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>% of cement</th>
<th>Cohesion (C) (kN/m²)</th>
<th>Friction angle (φ) (degree)</th>
<th>Interface cohesion (Cₐ) (kN/m²)</th>
<th>Interface friction angle (δ) (degree)</th>
<th>Friction* (%)</th>
<th>Cohesion** (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>10.48</td>
<td>37.21</td>
<td>18.47</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>7.5</td>
<td>76.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>18.21</td>
<td>34.83</td>
<td>21.27</td>
<td>36.88</td>
<td>5.9</td>
<td>16.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>23.31</td>
<td>33.94</td>
<td>36.26</td>
<td>39.85</td>
<td>17.4</td>
<td>55.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>24.82</td>
<td>30.1</td>
<td>29.72</td>
<td>49.2</td>
<td>63.5</td>
<td>19.7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Friction (%) = (δ-φ)/φ, **Cohesion (%) = (Cₐ-C)/C

Fig. 5. Variation of the soil cohesion and interface cohesion with cement percent.

Fig. 6. Variation of the friction angle and interface friction angle with cement percent.

IV. Conclusion

The predicated results from the direct shear test of the untreated and treated remolded poorly graded sand according to adding of different percent of cement up to 15% reflect the following conclusions:

1. The results show that the interface cohesion (Cₐ) and interface friction angle (δ) are more than cohesion (C) and angles of friction (φ) of the untreated and remolded treated soil whereas most of the previous studies concluded that interface friction angle and angles of friction are equal (δ = φ).

2. The increase in cement percent led to increase in the cohesion of soil (C), whereas the interface cohesion (Cₐ) tends to increase then decrease its value beyond 10% of cement contaminations, the maximum percent cohesion percent was 76.2% according to 0% contamination as shown in Table I. Whereas Fig. 6 show the variation of the angle of friction (φ) and interface friction angle (δ) of the soil with cement percent, the results showed that as the percent of cement increase the angle of friction decrease, whereas the interface angle of friction increase, the maximum percent between interface angle of friction and angle of friction was 63.5%. As a conclusion interface cohesion (Cₐ) and internal angle of friction (δ) are more than cohesion (C) and angles of friction (φ) of the untreated and remolded treated soil. It is believed that the cement in the remolded case adhered to sand particles and worked as soil grains with different sizes and as a result, the properties of the remolded soil changed as measured in term of cohesion and angle of friction.
of friction increase, and the maximum percent between interface angle of friction and angle of friction was 63.5% at 15% of cement contamination.

4. It is believed that the cement in the remolded case adhered to sand particles and worked as soil grains with different sizes and as a result the properties of the soil changed as measured in term of cohesion and angle of friction.
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