Effect of Fatty Acids on Production and Immunological Status of Vaccinated Broiler Chickens

Yunis A. Bapeer¹ and Alaa A. Shamaun²

¹Veterinary Directorate of Erbil, Kurdistan Region - F.R. Iraq ²Department of Pathology and Poultry Diseases, College of Veterinary Medicine

University of Mosul, Mosul, F.R Iraq

Abstract—This study was conducted on 400 one day-old male broiler chicks (Ross-308) randomly divided to 2 main groups, 1st main group (GA) feeding basal diet with medium chain fatty acid (MCFA) at rate of 0.15% and divided to four subgroups, 3 subgroups vaccinated with different routes with Newcastle Disease (ND) and non-vaccinated group. The 2nd main group (GB) feeding basal diet without MCFA and divided as the same 1st main gruop. The parameters used in this study included: body weight (BW), phagocytic index (PI), stress index (SI) and weight of spleen and bursa of Fabricious. The aim of this study to evaluate the effect of (MCFA) on these parameters. The experiment lasted for 42 days. Results indicated that additional dietary fatty acid (FA) significantly increased average body weight during the different weeks of the experiment over the basal diet group of birds. Data of PI revealed that birds fed FA supplemented diet had lower values of PI than the basal diet on 2W and the reverse was true on 4W of the experiment. The nonvaccinated birds, on the other hand, showed lowest PI values on 2W and 4W of age. As for, SI a pronounced difference was found due to the two types of diet, and the vaccination methods, as well.

Index Terms—Broiler, fatty acid, newcastle disease, phagocytic index, stress index.

I. INTRODUCTION

Newcastle disease (ND) is a highly contagious avian disease and one of the major causes of economic loss in the poultry industry (Rasolia, et al., 2014). Although all Newcastle disease virus (NDV) isolates belong to a single serotype, significant genetic diversity has been described among NDV isolates (Zhang, et al., 2014). Newcastle disease (ND) remains

ARO-The Scientific Journal of Koya University

Corresponding author's e-mail: unisanwer@yahoo.com

characteristics, feed acceptability and bird health according to (Leeson and Summers, 2008). Currently, it has been found that natural additive such as herb and medical plants have some properties as growth enhancement to replace synthetic drugs. The antimicrobial effect of the medical plants is well documented by (Mahmmod, 2013). EOs enhance production of digestive secretions, stimulate blood circulation, exert antioxidant properties, reduce levels of pathogenic bacteria and may enhance immune status (Brenes and Roura, 2010). Using these medicinal plant oil in the diet showed significant effects on performance, carcass quality, feed conversion ratio FCR and heady weight agin of treated shiels (Ashen 2011).

body weight gain of treated chicks (Ashan, 2011). Many nutrients are capable of modulating the immune system as stated by (Korver, 2012). Different types of dietary fatty acids have been shown to have variable effects on bacterial clearance and disease outcome through suppression or activation of immune responses (Harrison, Balan and Babu, 2013). By using Aromatic herbal extract, an increase broiler performance (body weight gain, feed conversion, and carcass quality), and enhanced of the immunological performance. HI titer of Newcastle disease virus was significantly higher with addition of aromabiotic and weight of lymphoid organs (thymus

a constant threat to poultry producers' worldwide, in spite of the availability and global employment of ND vaccinations

since 1950s (Kapczynski, Afonso and Miller, 2013). The

clinical signs seen in affected birds due to this disease vary

widely and are dependent on factors like the virus strain, host

species, age of birds, immune status, environmental stress and

concurrent infection (Al-Habeeb, Mohamed and Sharawi,

2013). Various approaches have been used for identifying the

specific components of the immune system involved in

protection (Al-Shahery, Al-Zubeady and Al-Baroodi, 2008).

Feed additives are often used to improve physical diet

of aromabiotic, and weight of lymphoid organs (thymus, Bursa of Fabricius) were increased a combined with improvement of leukocytes (heterophil, lymphocyte and eosinophil were noticed by (Tollba, Shahbaan and Abdel-Mageed, 2012). Supplementation the feed with Aromabiotic poultry lead to better growth performances, where average

Volume III, No 1(2015), Article ID: ARO.10062, 05 pages DOI: 10.14500/aro.10062

Received 17 November 2014; Accepted 13 March 2015

Regular research paper: Published 10 May 2015

Copyright © 2015 Yunis A. Bapeer and Alaa A. Shamaun. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License.

daily gain was significantly better in the starter and grower period of broiler chicks (Isaac, et al., 2013).

II. MATERIAL AND METHODS

A. Experimental Birds and housing

Four hundred one day-old male broiler chicks (Ross-308) were obtained from a commercial (VANO) hatchery in Erbil city. The chicks represented a very homogenized sample in the initial where it ranged from 43-44 gm. This was achieved by weighing 400 birds of the sexed male broiler chicks individually and only those lied within aformentioned range was kept for running experiment. Thereafter the 400 chicks were divided into two groups named GA and GB, where the GA (200 birds) group of chicks reared on FA supplemented 0.15% starting, grower, and finisher pellet diet from factory (Agree land) in Erbil city, Table I. The added FA is characterized by being medium chain fatty acid (Aromabiotic) produced by Vitamix Belgium Company. The other GB (200 birds) group of chicks were reared on the same basal diet without FA added. Each of GA and GB chicks where subdivided to 4 groups, 50 birds each, and was subjected to different methods of vaccination against ND, orally, oculonasal, S/C, and control (non-vaccinated). Vaccination was applied when birds were 10 days-old. The sub groups were symbolically named G1, G2, G3 and G4 for birds of GA, according to the three vaccination methods and control, respectively. By the same talking G5, G6, G7 and G8 were referred to the birds of GB. The treatment chicks were reared in floor pen $(2.5 \times 1m)$ on chicken paper liter allowed the access of water and subjected to 24 hour light. The electrically heated house was furnishing the birds with a temperature schedule consist of an initial temp of 34c was reached on day of the experiment. Feed was given ad-libitum with feed through space held constant for all birds. Extra care was taken to secure biosecurity during the course of the experiment.

B. Body Weight

At the end of each of the last 5 experiment weeks, body weight were determent for each treatment replicate.

C. Blood Collection

Blood samples (2ml) from a wing vein of six birds of each treatment were collected at 2W and 4W of age after starting the experimental diet and vaccination program on day 10 of age. The blood samples were placed onto labeled slides and smears were fixed to determine the Stress index, from the data H/L ratio according to (Redmond et al., 2011) and the Phagocytic index according to (Park, Fikrig and Smithwick, 1968).

D. Immune Organs

Immune organs, represented by Bursa of Fabricius and Spleen were excised from 6 slaughter birds of each of the experimental treatment. Percent of the organs were determent base on live body weight of the birds according to this formula $\{(organ weight/body weight) \times 100\}$ at 2 and 4 weeks of age, for the purpose organ mass was normalized for body weight as somatic index (Keil, et al., 2008).

TABLE I COMPOSITION AND CHEMICAL ANALYSIS OF THE BASAL DIET FEED TO THE EXPERIMENTAL BIRDS

EXPERIMENTAL BIRDS						
No	Ingredients	Starter %	Grower %	Finisher %		
	e	(1-2wks)	(3-4wks)	(5-6wks)		
1	Corn	380	390	450		
2	wheat	160	200	200		
3	bran	85	80	70		
4	Soybean	324	270	218		
5	Oil	10	19	23		
6	Lysine	1	1.5	1.5		
7	Methionine	1	1.25	1.25		
8	Colin	1	1	1		
9	Calcium	15	14	13		
10	Di-calcium hosphate	15	14	14		
11	Vitamin	3	3	3		
12	minerals	0.2	0.2	0.2		
13	Anticoccidia	0.5	0.5	0.5		
14	Enzyme	0.75	0.75	0.75		
15	Antifungal	1	2	1		
16	Salt	2.55	2.8	2.8		
	Ch	emical analysis	5			
1	Crude protein	22.06%	20.12%	18.04%		
2	Energy	2817.4	2916.45	3011.97		
3	Methionine	0.45	0.45	0.42		
4	Methionine and	0.74	0.72	0.68		
	cysteine					
5	Lysine	1.28	1.18	1.04		
6	Calcium	0.99	0.92	0.87		
7	Available phosphate	0.43	0.41	0.40		
8	Sodium	0.16	0.16	0.16		
9	Crude fiber	2.96	2.87	2.73		
10	Crude fat	3.26	4.18	4.69		

Supplied per Kg of diet: Vit. A, 10 000 IU; Vit. D3, 2 000 IU; Vit. E,10 mg; Vit. K3,2 mg; Vit. B1, 2mg; Vit. B2, 6 mg; Vit. B6, 2 mg; Vit. B12, 10 mcg; Niacin, 30mg; Pantothenic acid, 10mg; Folic acid,0.75mg; Biotin, 50mcg; Choline,300mg; Copper, 4 mg; Iron, 40mg; Manganese, 70mg; Zinc,40mg; Iodin

III. STATISTICAL ANALYSIS

Data for each parameter was analyzed by a tow way general linear model analysis of variance (SigmaStat Ver. 31. 2012) with source of variation being affected of dietary FA, vaccination methods and their inter actions. The statistical analysis of trait (BW, SI, PI, Bursa and Spleen percent) was done based on tow way analysis of variance being the effect of FA, vaccination method and their interaction are the main affecting factors, within each age period. The dunncans test used for comparing the means.

IV. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The effects of feed additives FA on body weight, immune organs weight and (phagocytic and stress indexes) of the broilers during the different phases of experiment are shown in Table Π , Table III, Table IV, Table V and Table VI.

A. Body Weight

Chicks fed diet containing 0.15% FA were significantly

heavier (p<0.05) in weekly body weight than chicks fed the basal diet as showed in Table Π , this is due to MCFA act as an alternative of antibiotic and high energy diet. These results are agreement with (Kessler at el., 2009) who showed that the broilers fed the fat-supplemented diets presented higher weight gain as compared to those fed diets with no FA addition, it could be inhibit the excessive growth of a harmful intestinal microorganism, with the result may positively affect poultry health and productivity. Elagib, et al. (2012) stated that aromatic plants and their oil extracts are becoming more important in poultry production as growth promotants. Also (Ashan, 2011) showed that significant effect of medical plant oil on Body Weight (BW) and Body Weight Gain (BWG) and carcass quality. As for the effect of vaccination method, the 2 weeks body weight was significantly the least among birds subjected to oral vaccine, whereas 3rd and 4th week body

weight were heavier for birds orally vaccinated and the control groups than those received vaccine via oculonasal an S/C groups. Data of 5th and 6th week body weight show some kind of superiority for birds received no vaccine over dose subjected to vaccination against ND. Data of interaction indicated that within each of two dietary groups, the control chicks showed heavier body weight at 6th week of age than the bird subjected to the ND vaccine through oral, oculonasal and S/C methods, these results are in accordance with those of (Kogut, 2009) who found that a vigorous immune response (vaccination) reduce bird growth, it may be due to use large amount aminoacids to produce Abs in birds against ND vaccine instead of growth Performanc. (Miller, et al., 2010) reported that broilers selected the high fat and energy diets since the first days of age, which leads to better poultry production.

TABL	ΕII

BODY WEIGHT (MEAN ± SE) OF BROILER CHECKS AS AFFECTED BY DIETARY (FA) AND VACCINATION METHOD AT DIFFERENT AGES.

	Treatment	Age, Week				
	Treatment	2W	3W	4W	5W	6W
	FA	330.2±1.4a	902.3±6.4a	1521.1±6.1a	2331.4±6a	2849±14.4a
Diet	without FA	322.3±1.8b	835.8±7.1b	1285.9±5.5b	2176.5±5.6b	2705.4±6.34b
	Orall	325.1±2.52c	881.2±4.1a	1480.8±18b	2245.2±18 c	2761.9±17.3bc
	Oculonasal	330.7±3.8ab	828.5±11b	1423.5±15c	2228±24.9b	2746.5±23.4c
Vaccination	subcutaneous	330.6±4.32a	859.3±16c	1456±24.8a	2267.8±25a	2793.5±35.5ab
	Control	334.5±1.1ab	907±11.9d	1453.5±24.8a	2274±27.6a	2806.8±24.7a
	G1	331.7±3.2b	890±2.9a	1538.1±4.2ab	2300.5±3.9b	2813.7±10.9a
	G2	342.2±0.3a	863.7±3.6b	1473.3±6.2d	2309.97±4b	2821.5±10.2a
Interaction(GA)	G3	344.6±0.5a	911±4.87c	1537.8±3.3bc	2350.6±5.9a	2879±50.9a
	G4	333.5±1.4b	944.3±2.2d	1535.2±2.9ac	2364.6±4.9a	2881.8±13.2a
	G5	318.5±0.7bc	872.5±6.1a	1423.5±10.3d	2190±15.7b	2710.2±11a
	G6	319.3±3.2ab	793.4±4.3b	1373.7±3.1bc	2146±4.4b	2671.6±8a
Interaction(GB)	G7	316.6±1.9ac	807.5±4.2c	1374.6±5.2ab	2165±4.15a	2708±7.4a
	G8	334.7±1.9d	869.7±8.1d	1371.5±4.6ac	2183.3±7.1a	2730.±14.4a

B. Phagocytic Index (PI)

Diet supplementing with FA cause lower PI on 2W than the basal diet group of birds and the reverse was true as bird aged to 4W, Table III, may be the FA not influence the cell membrane of the heterophils at the early stage of life. (Kogut, 2009) showed that is largely due to a qualitative impairment of the avian innate host defenses characterized by a functional inefficiency of heterophils and macrophages for the first W to 2W days of life in chickens. On 2W of age the highest significant value of PI was noticed in birds subjected to ND vaccine via S/C method and the lowest was in the non-Vaccinated (control) birds. On the other hand, data of 4W of age revealed some statistical variation in PI values due to the different method of vaccination with the lowest values noticed in the control group, it is due to activation of immune cell after vaccination. As observed in the study of (Rue et al., 2011) that the host innate immune response to virus infection is an immediate reaction designed to retard virus replication and aid the host in developing specific protection from the adaptive immune responses. Data of inter action factors during both ages, indicated that with GA group none of the sub group treatment showed statistical different in PI means. However, with the GB group the S/C group of vaccination resulted in highest PI mean when birds 2W of age. (Baiao and Lara, 2005) showed that the biological point of view fatty acids, antioxidants are defined as compounds that completely protect the biological systems against the harmful effects or reactions that cause the oxidation of macromolecules or cellular structures.

C. Stress Index (H/L) Ratio

H/L ratio proved that within each age period, FA supplemented diet significantly (p<0.05) improved the SI parameter compared to the diet without FA groups of birds as shown in Table IV, it is due to direct transporting the FA into the immune cells. As indicated previously by (Gomes and Aoki, 2003) reported that the MCFA is transported through the mitochondrial membrane independently of the carnitine palmitoil-transferase (CPT) system. As for vaccination methods, no differences were detected in SI means during 2W and 4W of age due to different vaccination methods. In this regard, the control (non-vaccinated) birds showed statistically highest SI means over each of vaccination methods. (St-Onge

and Jones, 2002) observed that the MCFA absorbed directly into the portal circulation and transport to the liver for fast rate of oxidation leads to greater energy expenditure to immune cells. Some plant bioactive may play a role in the development of immune response in birds by protecting cells from oxidative damage and enhancing the function and proliferation of these cells which is supported by (Bozkurt at el., 2012). The data present here suggest an obvious involvement of FA in enhancing body weight a combined with improvement in healthy condition of the broiler chicks. Experimental investigations have confirmed that several fatty acids exert changes in the phospholipids of plasma membrane which affect the membrane fluidity and they also alter eicosanoid production (Pablo, at el., 2002).

TABLE III PHAGOCYTIC INDEX (MEAN ± SE) OF BROILER CHICKS AS AFFECTED BY DIFTARY (FA) AND VACCINATION METHOD AT DIFFERENT AGES

DIETART (FA) AND VACCINATION METHOD AT DIFFERENT AGES.				
	Treatment	Phagocytic Index 2W 4W		
			4W	
	FA	39.17±1.35b	46.58±1.15a	
Diet	without FA	47.29±2a	38.8±1.22b	
	Orall	41.42±2.44a	46.08±2.3ab	
	Oculonasal	40.17±1.83a	43.17±2.11ac	
Vaccination	subcutaneous	51.67±3.12b	44.8±1.6bc	
	Control	39.67±1.61a	37.42±2.44d	
	G1	36.33±3a	51±1.57a	
	G2	36.33±2.11a	48.7±1.65a	
Interaction(GA)	G3	42.16±2.46a	47.17±2.75a	
	G4	41.83±2.79a	39.5±4.5a	
	G5	46.5±2.6a	41.17±3.59a	
	G6	44±2.1a	37.67±2.01a	
Interaction(GB)	G7	61.168±0.87b	41±0.82a	
	G8	37.5±1.33c	35.33±2.03a	

Means with no common superscripts within treatment period cell (age) are significantly different (p<0.05).

TABLE IV
STRESS INDEX (MEAN \pm SE) of Broiler Checks as Affected by Dietary
(FA) AND VACCINATION METHOD AT DIFFERENT AGES.

	Treatment -	Stress Index		
	Treatment	2W	4W	
	FA	0.196±0.003a	0.173±0.003a	
Diet	without FA	$0.202 \pm 0.002b$	$0.256 \pm 0.006b$	
	Orall	0.194+0.003a	0.205+0.014a	
			0.20020.00	
	Oculonasal	0.196±0.002a	0.204±0.012a	
Vaccination	Subcutaneous	0.196±0.002a	0.208±0.011a	
	Control	0.209±0.006b	0.242±0.016b	
	G1	0.188±0.003a	0.163±0.003a	
	G2	0.195±0.003a	0.167±0.005a	
Interaction(GA)	G3	0.193±0.004a	0.172±0.004a	
	G4	0.210±0.011a	0.190±0.001a	
	G5	0.201±0.003a	0.247±0.001a	
	G6	0.198±0.003a	0.242±0.007a	
Interaction(GB)	G7	0.200±0.002a	0.243±0.006a	
	G8	0.209±0.008a	0.293±0.005a	

Means with no common superscripts within treatment period cell (age) are significantly different (p<0.05).

The ratios of both (Bursa of fabricius and spleen) showed no significant differences due to diet with FA verses diet without FA at 2W and 4W of age. Also, the different vaccination methods and interactions with types of diet had no effect the Bursa of Fabricius and spleen ratios, accept significant lower percent of spleen in birds vaccinated orally at 2W of age compared to the oculonasal, S/C, and control groups was recorded, as clarified in Table V and TableVI. These results supported by (Bozkurt, at el., 2012) who showed that the weight of the liver or bursa of Fabricius was not affected by fatty acid (P<0.05).

TABLE V Bursa of Fabricius of Broiler Chicks as Affected by Dietary (FA) and Vaccination Method at Different Ages.

	Treatment	Bursa	
	Treatment	2W	4W
	FA	0.168±0.014a	0.793±0.026a
Diet	without FA	0.162±0.009a	0.732±0.029a
	Orall	0.191±0.029a	0.791±0.034a
	Oculonasal	0.166±0.007a	0.819±0.034a
Vaccination	subcutanius	0.143±0.009a	0.692±0.046a
	Control	0.159±0.01a	0.749±0.035a
	G1	0.192±0.057a	0.812±0.055a
	G2	0.168±0.008a	0.854±0.058a
Interaction(GA)	G3	0.144±0.009a	0.728±0.026a
	G4	0.166±0.01a	0.776±0.066a
	G5	0.189±0.02a	0.769±0.045a
T	G6	0.163±0.013a	0.784±0.036a
Interaction(GB)	G7	0.142±0.016a	0.655±0.091a
	G8	0.153±0.018a	0.721±0.036a

Means with no common superscripts within treatment period cell (age) are significantly different (p<0.05).

TABLE VI Spleen of Fabricius of Broiler Chicks as Affected by Dietary (FA) and Vaccination Method at Different Ages.

	Treatment	Spleen	
	Treatment	2W	4W
Diet	FA	0.088±0.004a	0.360±0.025a
	without FA	0.084±0.005a	0.312±0.021a
Vaccination	Orall Oculonasal Subcutanius Control	$\begin{array}{c} 0.065 {\pm} 0.007 b \\ 0.087 {\pm} 0.008 a \\ 0.098 {\pm} 0.007 a \\ 0.095 {\pm} 0.006 a \end{array}$	0.325±0.020a 0.313±0.021a 0.361±0.052a 0.344±0.031a
Interaction(GA)	G1	0.064±0.005a	0.328±0.030a
	G2	0.091±0.01a	0.349±0.037a
	G3	0.101±0.009a	0.407±0.091a
	G4	0.099±0.007a	0.355±0.011a
Interaction(GB)	G5	0.065±0.009a	0.323±0.03a
	G6	0.084±0.011a	0.277±0.07a
	G7	0.095±0.004a	0.315±0.051a
	G8	0.091±0.01a	0.333±0.062a

Means with no common superscripts within treatment period cell (age) are significantly different (p<0.05).

V. CONCLUSION

We can conclude from the results that feed additive given for Broiler chicks by supplying 0.15% MCFA to the basal diet significantly improves their performance. MCFA did not affect weight of spleen and bursa of Fabricuis at day 14 and 28 of their live. In addition, MCFA was not involved in enhancing innate immunity assessed by phagocytic stress index of 14 and 28 days old Broiler chicks.

REFERENCES

Al-Habeeb, M.A., Mohamed, M.H.A. and Sharawi, S., 2013. Detection and characterization of Newcastle disease virus in clinical samples using real time RT-PCR and melting curve analysis based on matrix and fusion genes amplification. *Vet. World*, 6(5), pp.239-243.

Al-Shahery, M.N., Al-Zubeady, A.Z. and Al-Baroodi, S.Y., 2008. Evaluation of cell-mediated immune response in chickens vaccinated with Newcastle disease virus. *Iraqi Journal of Veterinary Sciences*, 22(1), pp.21-24.

Ashan, S.K., 2011. Effect of Herbal oil on performance, carcass quality, blood parameters and Immune System in female broiler chicken. Annals of Biological Research, 2(5), pp.589-592.

Baiao, N.C. and Lara, L.I.C., 2005. Oil and Fat in Broiler Nutrition. *Brazilian Journal of Poultry Science*, 7(3), pp.129-141.

Bozkurt, M., Küçükyılmaz, K., Catli, A.U., Zafer, O., Cinar, M., Metin, C. and Fethiye, C., 2012. Influences of an essential oil mixture supplementation to corn versus wheat-based practical diets on growth, organ size, intestinal morphology and immune response of male and female broilers. *Italian Journal of Animal Science*, 11(3), pp.290-297.

Brenes A. and Roura, E., 2010. Essential oils in poultry nutrition: Main effects and modes of action. *Animal Feed Science and Technology*, 158(1-2), pp.1-14.

Elagib, H.A., Nabiela, E.M., Abbass, S.A. and Ginawi, T., 2012. Effect of Natural Spices on Plasma Proteins in Broiler Chicks. *Nutr Food Sci.* 2(7), pp.1-4.

Gomes, R.V. and Aoki, M. S., 2003. Dose medium chain triglyceride play an ergogenic role in endurance exercise performance. *Rev Bras Esporte*, 9(3), pp.162-168.

Harrison, L.M., Balan, K.V. and Babu, U.S., 2013. Dietary fatty acids and immune response to food-borne bacterial infections. *Nutrients*, 5(5), pp.1801-22.

Isaac, D., Deschepper, K., Van Meenen, E. and Maertens, L., 2013. The Effect of a Balanced Mixture of Medium Chain Fatty Acids on Zootechnical Performance in Broilers. *Aust. Poult. Sci. Symp*, 24, pp.196-199.

Kapczynski, D.R., Afonso, C.L. and Miller, P.J., 2013. Immune responses of poultry to Newcastle disease virus. *Developmental and Comparative Immunology*, 41(3), pp.447-453.

Keil, D.E., Mehlmann, T., Butterworth, L. and Peden-Adams, M.M., 2008. Gestational exposure to perfluorooctane sulfonate suppresses immune function in B6C3F1 mice. *Toxicol Sci*,103(1), pp.77-85.

Kessler, A.M., Lubisco, D.S., Vieira, M.M., Ribeiro, A.M.L. and Penz, J.A.M., 2009. Fatty-Acid Composition of Free-Choice Starter Broiler Diets. *Brazilian Journal of Poultry Science*, 11(1), pp.31-38.

Kogut M.H., 2009. Impact of nutrition on the innate immune response to infection in poultry. *Journal of Applied Poultry Research*. 18(3), pp.111-124.

Korver, D.R., 2012. Implications of changing immune function through nutrition in poultry. *Animal Feed Science and Technology*, 173(1-2), pp.54–64.

Leeson, S. and Summers, J., 2008. *Commercial Poultry Nutrition*. 3rd ed. Nottingham University Press. UK.

Mahmmod, Z.A., 2013. The effect of Chamomile (Matericaria chamomile L.) As Feed additives on Productive Performance, Carcass Characteristics and Immunity Response of Broiler. *International Journal of Poult Sci*, 12(2), pp.111-116.

Miller, P.J., Decanini, E.L. and Afonso, C.L., 2010. Newcastle disease: Evolution of genotypes and the related diagnostic challenges. *Infection, Genetics and Evolution*, 10(1), pp.26-35.

Pablo, M.A., Puertollano, M.A. and Cienfuegos, G.A., 2002. Biological and Clinical Significance of Lipids as Modulators of Immune System Functions. *Clinical Diagnostic Laboratry Immunolgy*, 9, pp.945-950.

Park, B.H., Fikrig, S.M. and Smithwick, E.M., 1968. Infection and Nitro blue tetrazolium reduction by neutrophils: A diagnostic aid. *The Lancet*, 2(7567), pp.532-534.

Rasolia, M., Yeapa, SK., Tana, SW., Moeinia, H., Ideris, A., Bejob, MH, Alitheend, NB., Kaiserc, P. and Omar, AR., 2014. Alteration in lymphocyte responses, cytokine and chemokine profiles in chickens infected with genotype VII and VIII velogenic Newcastle disease virus. *Comparative Immunology, Microbiology and Infectious Diseases*, 37(1), pp.11-12.

Redmond, S.B., Chuammitri, P., Andreasen, C.B., Palic, D. and Lamont, S.J., 2011. Proportion of circulating chicken heterophils and CXCLi2 expression in response to Salmonella enteritidis are affected by genetic line and immune modulating diet. *Veterinary Immunology and Immunopathology*, 140(3-4), pp.323-328.

Rue, C.A., Susta, L., Cornax, I., Brown, C.C., Kapczynski, D.R., Suarez, D.L., King, D.J., Miller, P.J. and Afonso, C.L., 2011. Virulent Newcastle disease virus elicits a strong innate immune response in chickens. *Journal of General Virology*, 92(4), pp.931-939.

Sigma. Stat. V.3.1., 2012. Informer Technologies, Inc. https://systatsoftware.com/

St-Onge, M.P. and Jones, P.J., 2002. Physiological Effects of Medium- Chain Triglycerides: Potential Agents in the Prevention of Obesity. *Journal of Nutrition*, 132(3), pp.329-332.

Tollba, A.A.M., Shahbaan, S.A.M. and Abdel-Mageed, M.A.A., 2010. Effect of using Aramatic herbal extract and blended with Organic acids on productive and physiological performance of poultry. *Egypt Poultry Science*, 30(1), pp.229-248.

Zhang, Y., Shao, M., Yu, X., Zhao, J. and Zhang, G., 2014. Molecular characteristic of chicken- derived genotype VIId reveals a new length in hemagglutinin–neuraminidase. *Infection, Genetics and Evolution*, 21, pp.359-366.